

4

1

5 6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

genetic information; and to provide appropriate relief to Charging Party Raul Castellanos ("Charging Party"), and other aggrieved individuals who were adversely affected by such practices.

As alleged with greater particularity in paragraph 19 below, the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission") alleges that All Star Seed dba Eight Star Commodities, Green Touch Fertilizer, and Allstar Seed Company; La Valle Sabbia, Inc. dba Eight Star Equipment and Eight Star Logistics; and Abatti dba Abatti Companies ("Defendants") Defendants subjected job applicants to a medical physical containing tests and questions that are disability-related examinations and inquiries in violation of the ADA.

As alleged with greater particularity in paragraph 20 below, the Commission further alleges that Defendants subjected job applicants to requests for family medical history in violation of GINA.

As alleged with greater particularity in paragraph 22 below, the Commission alleges that Defendants discriminated against Charging Party when they refused to hire him because they regarded him as disabled in violation of the ADA.

As alleged with greater particularity in paragraph 23 below, the Commission alleges that Defendants failed to maintain confidential medical files separate from non-confidential information or treat such confidential information as a confidential medical record in violation of the ADA and GINA.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), and Section 207 of the Genetic

Nondiscrimination Act of 2008, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ff-6, which incorporate by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and pursuant to Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title I of the ADA and Title I of GINA and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 107(a) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), and Section 207 of GINA, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ff-6, which incorporate by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and 2000e-6.
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant All Star Seed dba Eight Star Commodities, Green Touch Fertilizer, and Allstar Seed Company ("All Star") has continuously been a California corporation doing business in the State of California and the Cities of El Centro and Long Beach, and has continuously had at least 15 employees either alone or by operation of the integrated enterprise doctrine.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant All Star has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Section 101(5) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C.§ 12111(5); Section 101(7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(7); and Section 201(2) of GINA, 42 U.S.C.§ 2000ff(2); which incorporate by reference Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

6.	At a	ıll relevant	t times,	Defendant	All	Star	has.	been	a	covered	entity	under	Section
01(2) of the	αΛΓ	)	C 8 12	2111(2)									

- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant La Valle Sabbia, Inc. dba Eight Star Equipment and Eight Star Logistics ("La Valle") has continuously been a California corporation doing business in the State of California and the Cities of El Centro and Long Beach, and has continuously had at least 15 employees either alone or by operation of the integrated enterprise doctrine.
- 8. At all relevant times, Defendant La Valle has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Section 101(5) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C.§ 12111(5); Section 101(7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(7); and Section 201(2) of GINA, 42 U.S.C.§ 2000ff(2); which incorporate by reference Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).
- 9. At all relevant times, Defendant La Valle has been a covered entity under Section 101(2) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(2).
- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant Abatti dba Abatti Companies ("Abatti") has continuously been a California corporation doing business in the State of California and the Cities of El Centro and Long Beach, and has continuously had at least 15 employees either alone or by operation of the integrated enterprise doctrine.
- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant Abatti has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Section 101(5) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C.§ 12111(5); Section 101(7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C.§ 12111(7); and Section 201(2) of GINA, 42 U.S.C.§ 2000ff(2); which incorporate by reference Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C.§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

12. At all relevant times, Defendant Abatti has been a covered entity under Section 101(2) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(2).

### **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

- 13. On November 26, 2010, more than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Raul Castellanos filed a charge of discrimination with the Commission alleging that Defendants violated Title I of the ADA and the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008. Shortly thereafter, the EEOC sent a copy of the charge to Defendant All Star.
- 14. Prior to the institution of the lawsuit, the Commission investigated the charge in various ways, including obtaining Defendant All Star's response to the charge, requesting and obtaining documents from Defendant All Star, and by interviewing witnesses such as its employees.
- 15. Prior to the institution of this lawsuit and after its investigation, the Commission issued on April 26, 2012 a Letter of Determination to Defendant All Star finding reasonable cause to believe that Raul Castellanos was discriminated against because of his medical information and perceived disability.
- 16. Prior to the institution of this lawsuit, the Commission attempted to eliminate the unlawful employment practices alleged below and to effectuate Defendants' voluntary compliance with Title I of the ADA and the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act through informal methods of conciliation and persuasion. Defendant All Star did not respond to EEOC's repeated conciliation invitations. Therefore, the parties were not able to resolve the charge through conciliation.
  - 17. Prior to the institution of this lawsuit, all conditions precedent were satisfied.

### **STATEMENT OF CLAIMS**

18. Since at least January 1, 2009, Defendant All Star has engaged in unlawful employment practices at its facilities in the Counties of Imperial and Los Angeles, California, in violation of Section 102(d) of Title I of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(d).

### COUNT ONE: IMPROPER OBTAINMENT OF MEDICAL INFORMATION

- 19. Defendant All Star improperly required Raul Castellanos to undergo a pre-offer physical examination for the purpose of determining his health conditions. Defendant All Star's inquiry was not related to or consistent with the business necessity of the Dispatcher position that Castellanos sought.
  - a. Defendant All Star required Castellanos to undergo a physical examination before formally hiring him. On August 14, 2010, Logisitics and Production Manager Ismael Villalobos informed Castellanos that Castellanos would be hired as a Dispatcher at Defendant All Star's Long Beach warehouse on the condition that he pass a physical examination and drug test. Until the physical examination and drug test were completed, Castellanos worked for Defendant All Star through Labor Ready Staffing Agency as a temporary Dispatcher and assist warehouse staff with loading, unloading, and forklift duties.
  - b. Defendant All Star's required physical examination was conducted for the purpose of improperly obtaining Castellanos' health condition. On September 1, 2010, at Defendant All Star's request, Castellanos underwent a drug test and physical examination at Long Beach Medical Clinic. Castellanos' drug test was clear, and his physical examination determined that he was fairly healthy. As a part of his physical examination, Castellanos completed

26

27

28

Defendant All Star's Health Questionnaire. The Health Questionnaire included questions likely to elicit disability-related information, such as whether Castellanos ever had lung problems, worn a face mask, and been hospitalized. For each question that Castellanos answered affirmatively, Castellanos needed to provide full and complete explanation. The Health Questionnaire also contained a statement that said that any misrepresentation or omission in answering or explaining would constitute fraud.

- c. Defendant All Star's request for Castellanos to undergo a physical examination was not related to the Dispatcher position or any business necessity. Castellanos applied to be a Dispatcher. Dispatchers engage in the sedentary activities of answering telephones, filing paperwork, and assigning drivers to locations. Since at least January 1, 2009, Defendant All Star had a policy and procedure of requiring applicants, including those who are applying to be Dispatchers, to undergo a physical examination including tests and questions likely to elicit disability-related information. The physical examination tested Castellanos' heart rate and asked Those tests and questions are not related to whether hospitalizations. Castellanos could file paperwork, assign drivers to locations, and answer the telephone.
- d. In response to the medical examination, Castellanos disclosed that he was hospitalized in 2010 for atrial fibrillation. Castellanos' hospitalization for atrial fibrillation was a one-time occurrence.

27

28

e. As a result of its improper obtainment of Castellanos' medical information, Defendant All Star perceived Castellanos to be disabled due to his atrial fibrillation and refused to hire him as a Dispatcher. Defendant's Logisitics and Production Manager Villalobos informed Castellanos that he was not hired because he had a heart attack.

### COUNT TWO: IMPROPER OBTAINMENT OF GENETIC INFORMATION

- 20. Since at least January 1, 2009, Defendant All Star has engaged in unlawful employment practices at its facilities in the Counties of Imperial and Los Angeles, California, in violation of Section 202 of Title I of GINA, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ff-1(b).
- 21. Defendant All Star unlawfully requested and required Castellanos' genetic information. Genetic information includes the manifestations of diseases or disorders in family members of the individuals whose genetic information is requested. By requesting Castellanos' genetic information, Defendant All Star also received medical information about Castellanos' family members.
  - a. In response to Castellanos' answers on the Health Questionnaire, Defendant All Star requested that Castellanos produce all medical records regarding his atrial fibrillation. Defendant All Star required Castellanos to produce all medical records in order to be hired as a Dispatcher.
  - b. Castellanos produced the medical records. The medical records revealed the medical conditions of Castellanos' biological relatives. Specifically, Castellanos' medical records for his atrial fibrillation show that the medical conditions of his grandmother, parents, and several aunts.

22. Since at least January 1, 2009, Defendant All Star has engaged in unlawful
employment practices at its facilities in the Counties of Imperial and Los Angeles, California, in
violation of Section 102(b)(6) of Title I of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(d). On or about early-
September 2010, Defendant All Star refused employment to Charging Party because it regarded
him as having a heart condition.

- 23. Since at least January 1, 2009, Defendant All Star has engaged in unlawful employment practices at its facilities in the Counties of Imperial and Los Angeles, California, in violation of Section 503(a) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a), and Section 206(a) of GINA, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ff-5(a). Since at least September 30, 2008, Defendant All Star has failed to maintain confidential medical files separate from non-confidential information or treat such confidential information as a confidential medical record.
- 24. The effect of the practices complained of in paragraphs 18-23, above, has been to deprive Charging Party and other aggrieved individuals of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as an applicant for employment.
- 25. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 18-23, above, were and are intentional.
- 26. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 18-23, above, were and are done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Charging Party and others.

27. Defendant All Star, Defendant La Valle, and Defendant Abatti (the "Companies") are a single employer or acted as joint employers with regard to their applicants and employees. The Companies share an owner, address, phone number, website, and agent for service of process. Eight Star Commodities, All Star Seed Company, Green Touch Fertilizer, and Eight Star Logistics are all registered fictitious business names of All Star Seed. The Companies share one Human Resources Compliance Officer who oversees the hiring process, maintains the personnel files, and administers the payroll for the Companies. The human-resources policies and hiring processes are the same among the Companies, and the Companies regularly share applicants. The Companies share one Operations Manager who handles new-hire pay decisions. The Companies share one General Manager who handles employee complaints.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in a practice of subjecting applicants and employees to unlawful medical examination and inquiries, from engaging in a practice of subjecting applicants and employees from unlawful requests for genetic information, and from refusing to hire individuals with disabilities or individuals perceived as disabled, and from retaliating against individuals who refuse to be subjected to unlawful employment practices.
- B. Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for qualified individuals with disabilities or perceived disabilities, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment

practices. Order Defendants to institute and carry out practices to maintain separate confidential medical files.

- C. Order Defendants to make whole Charging Party by providing appropriate backpay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to rightful-place hiring, promotion, or reinstatement of Charging Party.
- D. Order Defendants to make whole Charging Party by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 18-27, above, including relocation expenses, job search expenses, and medical expenses, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant All Star to make whole Charging Party and any other aggrieved individuals by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraphs 18-27, above, including emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendants to pay Charging Party and any other aggrieved individuals punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct, as described in paragraphs 18-27, above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
  - H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

P. DAVID LOPEZ General Counsel

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JAMES LEE
Deputy General Counsel

GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS
Associate General Counsel

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 131 "M" Street, NE. Washington, D.C. 20507

Dated: September 21, 2013

ANNA Y. PARK
Regional Attorney

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

-12-

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been assigned to	o District Judge	John A. Kronstadt	and the assigned
Magistrate Judge is Andre	ew J. Wistrich .		
The case number or	all documents filed with the	Court should read as foll	ows:
	2:13-CV-7196-JAK (A	JWx)	
Pursuant to General Order 05 California, the Magistrate Judge has			District of
All discovery related motions	should be noticed on the cal	endar of the Magistrate Ju	ıdge.
	Cl	erk, U. S. District Court	
		,	
September 30, 2013	Ву	MDAVIS	
Date		Deputy Clerk	
	NOTICE TO COUNS	EL	
A copy of this notice must be served w	ith the summons and compla	nt on all defendants (if a 1	removal action is
filed, a copy of this notice must be serv	*	, , ,	
Subsequent documents must be file	d at the following location:		
Western Division 312 N. Spring Street, G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Ste 10 Santa Ana, CA 92701	Eastern Divisi 3470 Twelfth Riverside, CA	Street, Room 134
Failure to file at the proper location	will result in your documen	its being returned to you	i.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	
Plaintiff(s)  V.  ALL STAR SEED dba EIGHT STAR COMMODITIES, GREEN TOUCH FERTILIZER, and ALLSTAR SEED COMPANY; LA VALLE SABBIA, INC. dba EIGHT STAR EQUIPMENT and EIGHT STAR LOGISTICS; and ABATTI dba ABATTI COMPANIES	Civil Action No. CV 13 - 07196 JAK
Defendant(s)	) )

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Date:

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Anna Y. Park, Regional Attorney, EEOC

255 E. Temple St., 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 894-1083

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

		CLERK OF COU
SEP 3	0 2013	CLERK OF COUL

Civil Action No.

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (n	ame of individual and title, if any)		
as received by me on (date)			
☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the individual a	t (place)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)	
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion who re-	sides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	ne individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)		, who i
designated by law to	accept service of process on behal		
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the sum	imons unexecuted because		; 01
☐ Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information is	s true.	
e:			
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

### United States District Court

for the

Central District of California

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	
Plaintiff(s)  V.  ALL STAR SEED tha EIGHT STAR COMMODITIES, GREEN TOUCH FERTILIZER, and ALLSTAR SEED COMPANY; LA VALLE SABBIA, INC. dba EIGHT STAR EQUIPMENT and EIGHT STAR LOGISTICS; and ABATTI dba ABATTI COMPANIES	Civil profition Co.V 13 - 07196-JAK  (ASUX)
Defendant(s)	

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To; (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3)—you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: Anna Y. Park, Regional Attorney, EEOC

255 E. Temple St., 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 894-1083

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	SEP	3 0	2013
Date:			

CLERK OF COURT

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nat	me of individual and title, if any)		
was r	eceived by me on (date)			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	usual place of abode with (name)	<del></del>
			n of suitable age and discretion who re	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh		
	***************************************		on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	May Comment of	C		
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.	
Date:				
		0.000	Server's signature	THE THE STATE OF T
		ADPAIRM TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	
A alater			server s unuress	
ruuiii(	mai imormation regardii	ng attempted service, etc:		

Document 1

Filed 09/30/13

Page 18 of 19 Page ID



### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION				DEFENDANTS  ALL STAR SEED dba EIGHT STAR COMMODITIES, GREEN TOUCH FERTILIZER, and ALLSTAR SEED COMPANY, LA VALLE SABBIA, INC. dba EIGHT STAR EQUIPMENT and EIGHT STAR LOGISTICS; and ABATTI dba ABATTI COMPANIES					
yourself, provide same.)	ddress and Telephone Number. If Attorney, EEOC, 255 E. Temple S 213) 894-1083		Attorneys (I	f Known)				MANAGEMENT OF THE STATE OF THE	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only.)		ZENSHIP OF PR						
■ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	y) Citizen of	This State	<b>PTF</b> □ 1		orated or Principal Planess in this State	PTF	DEF □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendan	t	zenship Citizen of	Another State	□ 2		orated and Principal F ness in Another State		□ 5	
THE OPECANI (D)		Citizen or	Subject of a Foreig	gn Country   3	☐ 3 Foreign	Nation	□ 6	□6	
▼1 Original □ 2 Remove									
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	P. 23: ☐ Yes  No e the U.S. Civil Statute under whi endant improperly required empl	ich you are filing an	☐ MONEY DE!	MANDED IN CO	OMPLAINT: \$ to not cite jurisdic	ctional statutes unles	s diversity.) nditions.		
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	TORTS		TORTS	PRISONE		LABOR		
Rates/etc.  460 Deportation  470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit  490 Cable/Sat TV  810 Selective Service  850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange  875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410  890 Other Statutory Actions  891 Agricultural Act  892 Economic Stabilization Act  1893 Environmental Matters  1894 Energy Allocation Act  1895 Freedom of Info. Act  1900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of ○ Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of ○ Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 196 Torter to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 197 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL IN    310	roduct 370 C 371 T 380 C 9 poyers' 385 P P BAN 0 422 A icle icle icle icle icle icle icle icle	Other Fraud Fruth in Lending Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability IKRUPTCY Expeal 28 USC 58 Vithdrawal 28 ISC 157 IL RIGHTS Footing Imployment Iousing/Acco- Indicate with Iousing/Acco- Indicate with Iousing/Item Indicate with Iousing/Item Item Iousing/Item Item Iousing/Item Ious	PETITION  510 Motions Vacate S. Habeas C  530 General  535 Death Pe	NS to entence   720 Lai   720 Lai   720 Lai   730 Lai   740 Ra   7	r Labor Sta  bor/Mgmt. ations bor/Mgmt. ations bor/Mgmt. borling & closure Act lway Labor gation pl. Ret. Inc. urity Act RTY RIGH byrights bett demark L SECURIT (1395ff) bk Lung (92 VC/DIWW 5(g)) D Title XVI (405(g)) L TAX SU befendant)	t r Act ITS ITS sintiff	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number \_

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: F	las this action been	previously filed in this court	and dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	ve any cases been p	previously filed in this court th	hat are related to the present case? ♥No □ Yes			
□С	<ul><li>Arise from the sai</li><li>Call for determina</li><li>For other reasons</li></ul>	me or closely related transacti ation of the same or substantia would entail substantial dupli	ions, happenings, or events; or ally related or similar questions of law and fact; or ication of labor if heard by different judges; or at, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	ne following inform	ation, use an additional sheet	if necessary.)			
(a) List the County in this District Check here if the government,	; California County its agencies or emp	outside of this District; State loyees is a named plaintiff. It	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which <b>EACH</b> named plaintiff resides. f this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County						
(b) List the County in this District; Check here if the government,	California County	outside of this District; State loyees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County			`			
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation c	California County ases, use the locati	outside of this District; State i				
Los Angeles County			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
2007 ringeres county						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernai Note: In land condemnation cases, us	rdino, Riverside, V se the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S e tract of lara involved	San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PER):	X	Date 9/30/2013			
but is used by the Clerk of the C	v. This form, appro- ourt for the purpose	ved by the Judicial Conference of statistics, venue and initiati	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C	or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental Act, as amended.	security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security			
865	RS1	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))				